



Equity and Excellence: Research on Culturally Responsive Pedagogy

Sonu Rajak¹, Pakeeza Khatoon², Dr. Abu Rehan³ & Uttam Kumar⁴

¹Assistant Professor, MANUU College of Teacher Education Darbhanga (Bihar), Email

ID: - sonu.06541@gmail.com

²Research Scholar, Maulana Azad National Urdu University, Hyderabad, Email ID: -

pakeehasan354@gmail.com

³Lecturer MANUU, Polytechnic, Darbhanga

Email ID:- aburehanabu333@manuu.edu.in

⁴M.Ed Scholar, MANUU College of Teacher Education Darbhanga (Bihar)

Email ID:- uttamkumar8076@gmail.com

Abstract:

The theoretical foundation, empirical studies, and practical implementations of the concept of Culturally Responsive Pedagogy (CRP) as the transformational model in the establishment of equity and excellence in a heterogeneous learning setting will be discussed in the paper. The review, based on the works of the great researchers such as Gloria Ladson-Billings, Geneva Gay and other great researchers, summarizes the studies, which demonstrate how CRP may be applied in order to overcome the achievement gap that lingers and, at the same time, facilitate the high academic standards and cultural competency. As it is observed, CRP operates in various ways which encompass authenticating cultural identity of students and building teacher, student nurturing relationships, leveraging the funds of knowledge that the students possess and creating inclusive learning environments. According to the findings of empirical research at both K-12 and college levels, it has big effects on student engagement, academic achievement. However, there are still certain challenges including poor preparation of teachers, time and institutional barriers. CRP can be described as a holistic approach to educational justice because it augments the historical inequity and excellence based educational reforms without undermining the diversity or compromising high levels of academic achievement.

Keywords :- *Culturally Responsive Pedagogy, Educational Equity, Academic Excellence, Achievement Gap, Multicultural Education.*

1. Introduction :-

The modern education system is experiencing a paradoxical situation: education policy is more than ever focused on the themes of equity and excellence, but still, achievement disparities based on race, ethnicity, language, and socioeconomic status have been thorny in their presence [3], [17]. This pedagogical environment requires pedagogical practice that meets the needs of systemic inequities and also ensures high standards of academic achievement a two-fold goal to which Culturally Responsive Pedagogy (CRP) is singularly suited.

When developed by Gloria Ladson-Billings and Geneva Gay, Culturally Responsive Pedagogy is a full-fledged conceptualized educational system that sees the cultural background of students as an asset and not a weakness [14], [15]. CRP criticizes the assimilationist approach to education as it argues that successful teaching should be based on the lived experiences of students, cultural knowledge and community practice [3]. Instead of students having to give up their cultural identities in order to excel in their academic work, CRP establishes learning environments where cultural diversity is made a source of excellence.

This paper will give an in-depth discussion of CRP as a model of attaining equity and excellence in education. We start by examining the theoretical underpinnings that inform CRP based on the sociocultural theory, the critical race theory, and the funds of knowledge framework. We then examine the ways in which CRP manages the historical gap between interventions aimed at equity and those aimed at excellence. The empirical section combines research findings on the effect of CRP in several aspects of student outcomes such as student engagement, achievement, and identity development. We then give suggestions on how K-12 and higher education can implement the findings practically and the conversation is then followed by a critical discussion of the implementation challenges and future research directions. In this discussion, we assume that CRP is not simply good teaching in a different population, but a unique pedagogical strategy that radically changes the way culture, learning, and academic success are linked [20].

2. Theoretical Framework of Culturally Responsive Pedagogy

Culturally Responsive Pedagogy is based on a strong theoretical framework that encompasses various academic traditions like sociocultural theory, critical race theory,

constructivism and critical pedagogy. These theoretical foundations are vital in conceptualizing CRP as well as applying it in the various education settings.

2.1 Student-Centered Learning and Constructivism

The focus of CRP on developing instruction based on the experiences and outlooks of students corresponds to the theory of constructivist learning that considers knowledge as a process that learners can create and not knowledge that is imparted by the teacher [9]. According to the definition provided by Piaget as well as further developed by modern researchers, Constructivism makes it clear that learners construct sense by relating new knowledge to the preexisting cognitive schemas [22]. CRP builds upon this idea and acknowledges that these schemas are culturally constructed and good teaching should consequently be culturally consistent [30].

Banks' dimensions of multicultural education provide a platform through which cultural information can be incorporated in the curriculum at various levels, to superficial level (adding other figures and cultural artifacts) to a radical level of curriculum that entirely reforms the curriculum based on a variety of perspectives [9], [11]. CRP supports the processes of Banks that lie in the depths of the framework which does not simply add cultural diversity to the already existing curriculum but serves as a prism through which all the material is analyzed and interpreted [11].

2.2 Gay's Framework of Culturally Responsive Teaching

Geneva Gay's comprehensive framework for culturally responsive teaching series of fundamental principles that have now been advanced to the status of central to CRP research and practice [3], [11], [12], [22]. Gay underlines that culturally responsive teaching: (1) recognizes the legitimacy of the cultural heritages of students; (2) establishes bridges between home and school experiences of students; (3) employs an enormous diversity of instructional strategies related to various learning processes; (4) teaches students to know and celebrate their own and others cultural heritages; (5) involves multicultural information, resources, and materials in every subject [3], [22].

Gay's framework makes cultural diversity an asset which benefits teaching and learning and not a problem to be defeated [3], [8]. It is the asset-based point of view that, in fact, questions the deficit ideologies which have traditionally dominated the conversation of education about minoritized students [13], [27].

2.3 Ladson-Billings of Culturally Relevant Pedagogy

Gloria Ladson-Billings' seminal work "Toward a Theory of Culturally Relevant Pedagogy" recognized three core criteria for culturally relevant teaching. The students have to achieve academic success, achieve and sustain cultural competence, and acquire critical

consciousness whereby they question the status quo of the existing social order [14]. This three-part framework clearly mediates between equity and excellence, by not compromise between academic rigor and cultural integrity [14], [15].

The study conducted by Ladson-Billings of model teachers who taught African American students, demonstrated that culturally relevant pedagogy is defined by certain beliefs and practices: seeing pedagogy and knowledge as something that is continually created and shared between teachers and students; seeing pedagogy as an art, not a technique; seeing oneself as part of the community and teaching as a contribution to that community [14].

3. Bridging Equity and Excellence: The Duple Mandate of CRP

Among the most important contributions of Culturally Responsive Pedagogy is the fact that it blatantly disregards the false dichotomy that exists between equity and excellence in educational reforms that has always dogged educational reform movements. The conventional methods have usually framed these aims as mutually exclusive: equity-based interventions are occasionally derided, as they are said to reduce standards, and excellence-based reforms are often accused of increasing inequalities [12], [17]. CRP in its essence attacks this dichotomy by showing that equity and excellence not only do not contradict each other but actually complement each other [5], [14], [24].

3.1 The Excellence Gap and Its Inferences

The excellence gap concept is used to emphasize the differences in the highest types of academic performance between White students and those of racial, ethnic, and linguistic minority [17]. This is especially apparent in higher levels of study like Advanced Placement (AP) courses, gifted and talented courses, and selective higher schools [17]. Conventional solutions to achievement disparity have usually been based on remediation and fundamental skills, unintentionally giving rise to a two-track system of minoritized students being overrepresented in lower-level coursework [12], [17].

CRP manages the excellence gap through high expectations of all students and at the same time offers culturally responsive support that helps students achieve the expectations [5], [28], [28].

3.2 Cultural Competence and High Expectations

The framework created by Ladson-Billings makes it clear that culturally relevant pedagogy must be able to yield academic achievement and at the same time, help students build cultural competence [14], [15]. This bi-focal emphasis contradicts the negative viewpoints of deficit that implicitly or explicitly indicate that it is impossible to preserve cultural identity and achieve academic success [13], [27]. Rather, CRP shows

how students can and must be able to perform at an academic level of excellence and at the same time be able to retain and celebrate their cultural identities [3], [14], [15].

Studies also confirm that culturally responsive teachers promote high expectations of all students in ways that are culturally congruent and consider and expand on the strengths of students [8], [30], [28]. These educators do not believe that minoritized students must be given a watered-down curriculum, but rather offer high-quality content with culturally responsive scaffolding which makes the content available and relevant to them [5], [17], [28].

3.3 Redefining Excellence with Cultural Pluralism

CRP also criticizes limited definitions of excellence that favour specific cultural knowledge and modes of knowing and devalue others [1], [3], [27]. Conventional models of academic excellence have traditionally been implicitly monocultural, based on the prevailing cultural norms and values and carrying other cultural interpretations as additive or irrelevant [13], [27]. According to CRP, there should be a broader and more culturally pluralistic concept of excellence acknowledging different kinds of knowledge, different ways of proving competence and different ways of accomplishing success [3], [14], [27]. This re-conceptualization is most clearly seen in the education of mathematics where CRP questions the standard of mathematics to be a universal language free of cultures [27]. Rather, culturally responsive mathematics pedagogy acknowledges that mathematical concepts can be learned using a variety of cultural backgrounds through indigenous architectural designs and other forms of traditional art, making mathematics more approachable and higher in meaning to different learners [27]. The strategy does not reduce standards; instead, it increases the cultural assets using which students have access to rigorous mathematical material [27].

3.4 Equity: as a Prerequisite to Excellence

CRP acknowledges that the excellence cannot be real without equity [5], [24], [28]. When large groups of the student community are systematically denied the chance to reach out to their full potential, the educational system as a whole fail to reach excellence [12], [13]. On the other hand, as the high-quality, culturally responsive instruction is available to all students, the achievement in general increases [3], [8], [22].

The presence of high-performing schools with diverse population supports this view, as research shows that culturally responsive practices play an important role in helping schools to reach equitable and excellent learning outcomes among all racial and ethnic groups [12]. Neither do these schools compromise rigor in an attempt at equity, but are

cognizant of the fact that culturally responsive teaching is high quality infrastructure that allows all students to achieve high standards [12].

3.5 The Framework of Equity Excellence

The notion of inclusive excellence is a combination of the equity and excellence requirements: it claims that excellence can only be significant when it is inclusive; that is, when every student, no matter the background, gets a chance to perform at high levels [5], [23], [26]. This model, used both in the K-12 and post-secondary education settings, makes cultural responsiveness not an accommodation of the diverse students but an essential part of the educational quality that benefits every learner [5], [23], [26].

The inclusive excellence framework is used in the preparation of teachers who are able to serve and meet the diverse needs of students adequately by incorporating culturally relevant pedagogy in their workforce [5]. This practice acknowledges that the responsiveness of teaching across cultural differences is not a specialization that can be chosen or not, but it is rather a basic professional skill in a more diverse society [5], [23].

4. Empirical Evidence: Impact on Student Outcomes

There exists accumulating empirical research evidence that Culturally Responsive Pedagogy has quantifiable positive effects in various aspects of student outcomes. This part integrates the evidence regarding the impacts of CRP on student engagement and belonging, their academic achievement and performance, and identity development and cultural competence.

4.1 Student Engagement and Belongingness

Engagement in students, both behavioural, emotional and cognitive, is a critical antecedent to academic performance and an outcome by itself [6]. Studies have always shown that the practice of cultural responsiveness greatly increases student motivation, especially with students with a marginalized background [6], [11], [25].

In mixed-method research by Fahadah et al. the authors determined that CRP had a significant positive impact on student engagement by rendering learning more relevant to the cultural identity of students [6]. The researchers have been able to record classroom observations, interviews and focus groups which indicated that culturally responsive classroom students exhibited greater levels of participation, more not-transient attention to learning activities, and more accepting attitude to intellectual risk-taking [6].

The process by which CRP boosts the engagement is complex. First, CRP integrates cultural knowledge and experiences of students into curriculum, which makes the academic material more individual and relevant [6], [11], [27]. Second, culturally

responsive educators build relational disposition of caring and reciprocity with mutual respect and cultural awareness, which form classroom cultures in which students feel important and secure [17], [30]. Third, CRP confirms the cultural identity of students and the mental stress of having to juggle between school and home cultural environments are diminished [3], [6], [11].

Culturally responsive pedagogic professional development has been reported to improve teacher-student relations and the school climate in general [6] [25]. These relationship gains established more accommodating classroom conditions in which students were treated with regard and esteem [6], [25].

4.2 Academic Achievement and Performance

Although engagement and belonging are valuable results, the bottom line pertaining to any pedagogical intervention is its effect on academic success. As empirical evidence shows, CRP creates high levels of improvement in student achievement in different populations and content areas [3], [8], [22], [30].

Achievement Gap Reduction

Several research studies report the effectiveness of CRP in achieving gap between minoritized and majority students in achievement [3], [6], [13]. Fahadah et al. discovered that CRP has led to positive academic performance, especially mitigating the differences in academic performance between minority and low-income students and their more privileged counterparts [6].

Subject-Specific Achievement

There are indications of the influences of CRP across the content areas. Culturally responsive teaching in mathematics has also been demonstrated to increase student comprehension of mathematical concepts and academic success, but the literature undergoes review also provided some specific quantitative data were scarce [27]. In the field of literacy, there are several studies reporting a positive impact on reading comprehension, vocabulary acquisition, and the general level of literacy acquisition [9],

4.3 Identity Development and Cultural Competence

In addition to engagement and achievement, CRP has substantial effects on identity development, cultural competence, and sociopolitical consciousness, which are preferable in their own right and as sources of future success [3], [11], [14], [23].

Cultural Competence Development

Culturally relevant pedagogy exists within a framework by Ladson-Billings where students, as a result of the framework, are expected to be culturally competent; that is, a person able to operate effectively in their own culture, in the dominant culture and in

various cultural settings [14], [15]. It is confirmed that CRP manages to evolve this multi-faceted competence [23], [27]. In her analysis of the culturally responsive mathematics education, Singh discovered that CRP enables the development of cultural competence in students by confirming their cultural identities and at the same time equip them to operate in a variety of situations [27]. Through them, students get to understand that they can be good mathematicians and active members of a multicultural society [27].

Teacher-Student Relationships and School Climate

CRP also influences identity development mediated by better teacher-student relationships and school climate in general [6], [17], [25]. Fahadah and his colleagues discovered that CRP had a positive influence on the teacher-student relationships and the creation of an inclusive environment where students felt respected and appreciated [6]. Such improvement in relationships would place students in a situation where they may gain positive academic identities and define themselves as able to learn [6], [17]. Such relations entailed support in form of emotions, high expectations, and culturally aligned scaffolding which allowed the students to continue with difficult coursework [17]. However, it is important to note that not all research has found positive outcomes. Cherfas et al.'s study of a culturally responsive classroom intervention found little effect on student outcomes, emphasizing the value of high-quality implementation [4]. This study emphasizes that CRP is not a magic bullet and that its effectiveness is contingent on high-quality implementation that is based on a deep understanding of the culture [4],

5. Challenges in Implementation

In spite of the strong theoretical basis and the presence of empirical findings, the introduction of Culturally Responsive Pedagogy is characterized by some serious difficulties that should be considered and dealt with [23], [25], [27].

5.1 Teacher Preparation and Cultural Knowledge

The major difficulty is that the instructional staff in most settings continues to work with a majority of White, middle-class, and monolingual teachers, whereas the students are becoming more diverse [9], [23]. Lots of teachers do not have many experiences of dealing with other people that have diverse backgrounds and as a result they may lack the cultural knowledge that they need to effectively implement CRP [9], [25]. The process of building this cultural competence can be perceived as a continuous process that demands a real interest in various communities, enthusiasm to explore cultural assumptions and prejudices of a specific individual in particular [9], [25].

Preservice teacher education programs frequently offer inadequate training in culturally responsive pedagogy, as a non-core professional practice, but as an additional subject

[5], [9], [23]. Diversity courses also might not effectively equip the teachers with tools necessary to transfer cultural awareness into effective pedagogical practice even when the courses are mandatory [5], [9].

5.2 Time and Resource Constraints

Teachers often use the lack of time as an obstacle to CRP implementation [25], [27]. Culturally responsive curriculum development, researching the funds of knowledge of the students and fostering relationships with the families and communities take much time that cannot be allocated to normal teaching duties [25], [27].

Implementation is also limited by resource limitations. Curriculum materials of culturally responsive nature might not be easily accessible especially in cases of certain cultural groups or subjects [27]. Professional development opportunities in CRP may be unavailable to teachers, and the institutional support of schools to the community activity or curricula may be lacking [23], [25], [27].

5.3 Risk of Stereotyping

The major issue regarding the implementation of CRP is stereotyping and tokenistic portrayal of culture [23], [27]. Educationalists should aim to be authentic and not overly imitators who make shallow or even stereotypical depictions that can strengthen their cultural prejudices but not challenge them [27]. This cannot be achieved without profound cultural understanding and constant interaction with cultural groups instead of generalized cultural traits [23], [27].

It is also a risk that CRP may be watered down to shallow celebrations of cultural diversity, such as the introduction of ethnic food, holidays, and heroes without tackling the underlying problems of power, equity, and structural inequality [1], [27]. CRP should no longer be content with these shallow strategies to work with culture as a complex and dynamic phenomenon which informs learning, identity, and social relations [1], [14], [27].

5.4 Evaluating Effectiveness and Measuring Impact

Although studies prove the positive effects of CRP, rigorous measurement of these effects has not yet been formulated [4]. The fact that a culturally responsive intervention did not lead to significant changes in the performance of students by Chermas and colleagues indicates that the quality of implementation and the separation of the impact of CRP on the performance of students and other variables could be problematic [4]. To prove the effectiveness of CRP to skeptical stakeholders, well-developed research designs and precise outcome measures may be challenging to obtain in complicated educational settings [4].

6. Future Research Directions

Although the current body of research is very useful in showing the theoretical premises and practical implications of CRP, there still exist some gaps that ought to guide the future research endeavors.

6.1 Longitudinal Studies of Long-Term Impacts

The majority of the available studies investigate the short-term effects of CRP on student engagement, student achievement, and identity development [6], [11], [30]. The longitudinal researches are required to check whether these advantages remain in the long term and are converted into the long-term results like high school graduation, college attendance and graduation, professional success, and civic participation [3], [22]. This kind of research would reinforce the argument of continuing to invest in CRP because it would show long-term returns to it.

6.2 Sustainability and Scalability

A large part of the existing research looks at CRP implementation within a particular classroom, school, or small-scale programs [17], [22], [25], [30]. There is a need to conduct research concerning the best ways of scaling CRP to the district, states, or national levels without compromising the quality of the implementation [3], [23]. Questions are: What institutional mechanisms and policies help to implement large-scale CRP? What is the best way to provide professional development on large scales, whilst being attentive to local cultural settings? How expensive and resource-intensive is the implementation of systemic CRP?

6.3 Subject-Specific Implementation

Although there are studies that focus on CRP within the framework of a particular content area such as literacy and mathematics [9], [27], [30], additional studies are necessary regarding culturally responsive pedagogy in the entire spectrum of academic subjects, such as science, social studies, arts and career-technical education. Cultural responsiveness has its own opportunities and challenges in each field of study and is a case that should be studied with systematic research.

6.4 Context of Higher Education

Most of the study of CRP is conducted at K-12 settings [3], [9], [11], [17], [22], [30]. There should be further studies on the application of CRP to higher education, such as undergraduate and graduate education, as well as professional programs [23], [26]. Questions will be as follows: What are the differences between culturally responsive practices at different levels of education? Which strategies are useful in implementing CRP in big lecture courses, online courses, and in professional education?

6.5 Critical Examinations and Limitations

Critical analyses of the limitations of CRP and possible unintended effects would be useful to the field. Questions are: Is CRP an unwitting essentialization of culture or stereotype? What can CRP do to prevent the problem of cultural tokenism and remain practical to implement? What are the conflicts between cultural authenticity and cultural appropriation? The theoretical and practical bases of CRP would be reinforced by critical scholarship on these questions.

6.6 Synthesis: Constructing a global Research Agenda

The entire line of research inquiry would lead an informed agenda, which would enrich theoretical knowledge, support evidence-based applications of CRP and make its use more practical. The research that should be given priority should investigate long-term effects and sustainability, scalability and systemic implementation, mechanisms, mediating processes, and should also consider intersectionality and different contexts [3], [4], [23]. This research would place CRP on a better platform as a transformational tool to the attainment of equity and excellence in education.

7. Conclusion

This general analysis shows that Culturally Responsive Pedagogy is a theoretically sound, empirically testable, and practically applicable paradigm of equity as well as excellence in any diverse educational context. CRP, based on the sociocultural theory, critical race theory and constructivism, criticizes deficit approaches and presents the cultural knowledge of students as learning resources [3], [14], [23], [27]. CRP overcomes the false dichotomy between equity and excellence that has limited educational reform initiatives by explicitly rejecting the compromise of one or the other of these two aspects [5], [14], [24].

Implementing CRP requires a coordinated action on multiple levels, such as professional education that teaches cultural competence and pedagogical ability in teachers; curriculum and pedagogy that include cultural content in a significant manner; testing mechanisms that acknowledge diverse forms of knowledge as equally important; and institutions that are able to build cultural responsiveness into the quality of education [3], [5], [9], [22], [23], [27]. Though there are serious obstacles to implementation, research shows that culturally responsive pedagogy can be successfully implemented in a variety of situations when a long-term commitment and necessary support are maintained [22], [23], [25], [27].

In the future, the discipline should fill key research gaps in the research base, such as longitudinal research of long-term effects, studies of scalability and sustainability,

consideration of intersectionality and multiple identities, and critical analyses of the limitations of CRP [3], [4], [21], [23].

This research can reinforce CRP theoretical bases, advance empirical data, and can increase the practice. Culturally Responsive Pedagogy provides a holistic way of achieving educational justice in order to respect diversity and at the same time uphold a high standard of academic achievement in a world of demographic diversity and educational inequities. Acknowledging that equity and excellence are not dangerous ambitions but complementary (strengthening) objectives, CRP offers the idea of education implicitly in which all students, irrespective of their cultural background, have a chance to realize their full potential [5], [12], [14], [24]. The studies that have been discussed in the current paper have shown that this change cannot only be achieved but is also needed and there is hope of a better and more equal educational future.

References

1. Ashmawi, T., Hinman, J., & Sánchez, P. (n.d.). Critical pedagogy & culturally responsive pedagogy: An introduction. In *Critical pedagogy in uncertain times* (pp. 15–32). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003006350-2>
2. Benjamin, A. (n.d.). *Enhancing engagement and achievement: Culturally responsive teaching methods for marginalized K–12 students*.
3. Bishop, R., Berryman, M., & Wearmouth, J. (2023). A pedagogical continuum: Driving culturally responsive school reform for Māori secondary students. *Journal of Education and Development*, 7(2), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.20849/jed.v7i2.1339>
4. Cherfas, L., Shen, L., & Luschei, T. F. (2021). It's bigger than hip-hop: Estimating the impact of a culturally responsive classroom intervention on student outcomes. *Urban Education*, 56(8), 1447–1476. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0042085918789729>
5. Everett, S., Luera, G. R., & Otto, C. A. (2016). Creating inclusive excellence: A model for culturally relevant teacher education. *Journal of Education and Learning*, 5(4), 23–34.
6. Fahadah, F., Rahman, A., & Sari, D. P. (2025). Exploring the role of culturally responsive pedagogy in promoting equity across diverse educational environments. *Postaxial Journal*, 3(2), 45–62. <https://doi.org/10.59944/postaxial.v3i2.445>
7. Fatima, S. (n.d.). *Culturally responsive pedagogy in multicultural classrooms: Strategies for equity and inclusion*.
8. Glance, D. G. (2007). *What we know about culturally responsive instruction*.

9. Gunn, A. A. (2010). *Developing a culturally responsive literacy pedagogy: Preservice teachers, teaching cases, and postcard narratives* [Doctoral dissertation].
10. Hermond, D. (2023). Culturally responsive pedagogy. In *Advances in educational technologies and instructional design book series* (pp. 145–168). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-6995-8.ch008>
11. Holman, A. (2023). *Change the instruction, change the outcome: Teachers' influence on the academic achievement of Latinx students through culturally relevant pedagogy in the elementary classroom*. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8118516>
12. Johnson, L., Moller, J., Jacobson, S., & Wong, P. L. (2013). Culturally responsive teaching and high-performing schools that serve diverse populations. In *The Jossey-Bass reader on educational leadership* (3rd ed., pp. 337–354). Jossey-Bass. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203076934.CH18>
13. Kumar, S. (n.d.). *Bridging educational gaps: A systematic review of culturally responsive education to promote equity*.
14. Ladson-Billings, G. (1995a). Toward a theory of culturally relevant pedagogy. *American Educational Research Journal*, 32(3), 465–491. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00028312032003465>
15. Ladson-Billings, G. (1995b). But that's just good teaching! The case for culturally relevant pedagogy. *Theory Into Practice*, 34(3), 159–165. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00405849509543675>
16. López, F. A. (2016). Culturally responsive pedagogies in Arizona and Latino students' achievement. *Teachers College Record*, 118(5), 1–32. <https://doi.org/10.1177/016146811611800503>
17. Maguire, M. (2017). *Culturally responsive pedagogy in the advanced placement classroom: A case study* [Doctoral dissertation].
18. McSheehy, M. (2009). *Elementary school teachers and students living in poverty: Teacher understanding and pedagogy* [Doctoral dissertation].
19. Means, V. F. (2017). *Improving literacy for diverse low socio-economic status middle school students* [Doctoral dissertation].
20. Mosholder, R., Waite, B., Larsen, C., & Goslin, C. (2011). Encouraging post-secondary Native American student persistence. *Journal of College Student Retention: Research, Theory & Practice*, 13(1), 23–48.
21. Pant, P., Espinosa, C., & Garza, T. (2025). Equity in education through culturally responsive teaching. In *Handbook of research on equity and inclusion in*

educational leadership (pp. 37–58). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-9271-3.ch003>

22. Papp, S., Walton, P., Cram, F., Doole, C., & Berryman, M. (2021). Teacher professional learning, culturally responsive/sustaining practices, and Indigenous students' success: A comparative case-study of New Zealand and Saskatchewan, Canada. *Alberta Journal of Educational Research*, 67(2). <https://doi.org/10.55016/ojs/ajer.v67i2.58419>
23. Safa, N. (2025). Educate, elevate, empower: Shaping future-ready teachers for superdiverse classrooms. *Education and Urban Society*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00131245251352532>
24. Salazar, M. C., Norton, A. S., & Tuitt, F. A. (2019). Teacher evaluation as cultural practice: A framework for equity and excellence.
25. Septor, S. E. (2019). *Culture matters: Professional development and the implementation of culturally responsive pedagogy* [Doctoral dissertation].
26. Simmons-Davis, J. (n.d.). *The roadmap to inclusive excellence: Culturally responsive pedagogy in higher education*.
27. Singh, R. (2025). Incorporating culturally responsive teaching practices in mathematics education. *Edumania*, 3(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.59231/edumania/9125>
28. Stemberge, A. (2019). *Culturally responsive education in the classroom: An equity framework for pedagogy*. Routledge.
29. Stemberge, A. (2020). *Culturally responsive education in the classroom*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429441080>
30. Underwood, J. (2011). *Effects of culturally-responsive teaching practices on first grade students' reading comprehension and vocabulary gains* [Doctoral dissertation].

Cite this Article:

Sonu Rajak¹, Pakeeza Khatoon², ³Dr. Abu Rehan & ⁴Uttam Kumar, “Equity and Excellence: Research on Culturally Responsive Pedagogy” *Shiksha Samvad International Open Access Peer-Reviewed & Refereed Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, ISSN: 2584-0983 (Online), Volume 03, Issue 03, pp.08-21, March-2026. Journal URL: <https://shikshasamvad.com/>



This is an Open Access Journal / article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC BY-NC-ND 3.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. All rights reserved.



CERTIFICATE

of Publication

This Certificate is proudly presented to

**Sonu Rajak, Pakeeza Khatoon, Dr. Abu Rehan &
Uttam Kumar**

For publication of research paper title

**Equity and Excellence: Research on
Culturally Responsive Pedagogy**

Published in 'Shiksha Samvad' Peer-Reviewed and Refereed
Research Journal and E-ISSN: 2584-0983(Online), Volume-03,
Issue-03, Month March 2026, Impact Factor-RPRI-3.87.

Dr. Neeraj Yadav
Editor-In-Chief

Dr. Lohans Kumar Kalyani
Executive-chief- Editor

Note: This E-Certificate is valid with published paper and
the paper must be available online at: <https://shikshasamvad.com/>
DOI:- <https://doi.org/10.64880/shikshasamvad.v3i3.02>