SHIKSHA SAMVAD
International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
ISSN: 2584-0983 (Online)
© Shiksha Samvad|Volume-03| Issue-04| June- 2026
Available online at: https://shikshasamvad.com/
DOI:- https://doi.org/10.64880/shikshasamvad.v3i4.28

The Impact of Environmental Regulations on Green Innovation in India

Dr. Pooran Chandra Pande
Advocate, Paramarsh Vidhi Karyalay, Shanti Kunj Lane no 2, Ramnagar, Nainital Uttarakhand
Email: advocatepooranpande@gmail.com

Abstract

Environmental degradation, global warming, and resource exhaustion have led to the creation of robust environmental policies in all countries. The environmental policies like the Environment (Protection) Act 1986, Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act 1981, Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act 1974, among other similar acts, have had impacts on industrial practices in India. This paper will look at the relationship between environmental policies and innovations in India.

In the light of the Porter hypothesis, this paper will focus on the role played by environmental regulation in fostering innovations and efficiency of companies. It will analyse the history of environmental legislation, the judicial review process, and how industries react to environmental regulations. Through qualitative analysis, this paper will find out that environmental regulations have stimulated investments in renewable energy sources, clean technologies, waste disposal systems, and sustainable production system. The problem is that regulatory uncertainty, cost of compliance, and technological hurdles are barriers to innovation success. Therefore, it can be concluded that environmental governance and RD incentives could play a role in sustainable development in India.

Keywords: Environmental Regulation, Green Innovation, Hypothesis, Impact and Sustainable Development

1. Introduction

Environmental laws have become a critical issue today because of the threats that are posed by climate change, extinction of biodiversity, industrial pollution and depletion of natural resources. Today many countries across the globe look up to environmental laws for protecting them from ruining their environment, utilizing natural resources in a sustainable manner and ensuring sustainable development. Some of the salient features of environmental laws are pollution laws, emission laws, waste laws, impact assessment laws and clean technology laws. Environmental laws try to achieve a proper balance between economic development and

environmental protection so as to meet the needs of the current generation without compromising on the future generation.

It was believed that regulations related to the environment were the things that stopped the company from being industrialized and competitive. It was due to the reason that to satisfy all of the requirements concerning the environment, the firm had to pay something – either it was going to buy some devices or use certain procedures. These factors were regarded as those which made the firm inefficient, which meant it was not profitable and competitive enough and therefore was uninteresting for investments. One of the most important developments was the development of the so-called Porter Hypothesis which has been formulated by economist Michael Porter in the beginning of the 1990s. The essence of the Porter Hypothesis consists in the fact that proper environmental policy may become the basis for the development of innovations, efficiency and competitiveness. The environmental policy not only brings costs to firms but also stimulates their innovation efforts in terms of technologies and efficient production.

As such, India emerges as one of the countries whose analysis can be done in order to determine the effect of environmental laws on innovation within the industry sector. As one of the fastest growing countries in the world, India will still need to continue moving at this pace of development even when faced by different environmental challenges. omen of the environmental hazards includes air pollution, water pollution, deforestation, loss of biodiversity, and greenhouse gases. Environmental hazards create risk for humans, ecological system, and economy. India has developed a large number of environmental regulations in order to counter these environmental hazards. There are various examples of environmental laws including the Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974, Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981, and Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 that deal with the issue of pollution. In addition to these environmental laws, other examples of successful environmental regulation include renewable energy sources and energy efficiency laws.

In this context, environmental regulations can become the inducement for investment in renewable energy technology, environmental production, and waste management as illustrated by the example of India. However, there are a number of factors that need to be taken into account. Among other things, those factors may include uncertainties related to environmental regulations, problems with the implementation of environmental regulations, lack of technological resources, and the high cost of compliance with environmental regulations. Those factors might affect the process of innovation and the effect of environmental regulation in a negative way.

The interaction between environmental regulations and innovation has assumed considerable significance in the present day, considering the fact that India has made some attempt to meet its responsibilities in terms of international environmental regulations and sustainable development. Green innovations can be defined as innovations related to

environment-friendly technologies, environment-friendly processes of production, generation of energy from renewable sources, and resource conservation.

This research seeks to examine the impact of environmental regulations in India on green innovations, as well as the role of the judiciary in environmental management.

2. Research Objectives

The study seeks to:

1. Discuss the evolution of laws relating to the environment in India.
2. Analyse the connection between the regulation of the environment and green technological inventions.
3. Determine the importance of the involvement of the judiciary in India in the context of the sustainability of technology in terms of the environment.
4. Discuss the current legal regime.
5. Provide policy suggestions.

3. Research Methodology

There is a doctrinal as well as qualitative research methodology used in the research study. In the present study, both the descriptive as well as analytical approach for analysis will be applied to see the impact of environmental legislation on innovation and industry. The main sources of data are the Constitution of India, Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974, Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981, National Green Tribunal Act, 2010, and judicial precedents of Supreme Court and High Courts. The secondary sources of data are books, articles from academic journals on environmental law, government publications/policies and international environmental agreements.

4. Literature Review

Environmental degradation, climate change, and natural resources exploitation have resulted in very stringent rules and laws about the environment by most of the countries in the world. In regard to India, the presence of these environmental laws such as the Central Pollution Control Board, Environmental Protection Act, National Action Plan on Climate Change, among others, have ensured that the environment is sustainable for most of the organizations. Green innovations refer to all innovations which lead to the production of environmentally friendly goods and technologies. Green innovations have been seen as the key to sustainable development. The relationship between environmental laws and green innovations can be examined in view of the Porter Hypothesis.

As regards this issue, the problem of the connection between environmental regulation and green innovation is discussed using the so-called Porter Hypothesis formulated by Michael E. Porter and Claas van der Linde (1995). According to the Porter Hypothesis, environmental regulations may function as an incentive for innovations and increase resource efficiency without generating any negative effects. Jaffe and Palmer (1997) claim that this hypothesis is empirically

verified since the environment regulations are an incentive of innovation and do not affect productivity. Moreover, this idea is shared by other scholars. Concerning the literature in this field, it is possible to claim that environmental regulation stimulates green innovations. According to Chowdhury and Das (2011), the Porter Hypothesis is correct because environmental regulations are able to encourage green innovations and investment in research and development. However, according to Doran and Ryan (2012), the pressure caused by environmental regulations has a positive effect on eco-innovation and business performance. Finally, the benefit of innovation induced by environmental policies is emphasized by Ambec et al. (2013).

The results obtained from the research carried out by Liao and Liu (2022) and from the research carried out by Zhang et al. (2024) indicate that there exists a positive association between environmental regulation and green innovation. On the basis of the contemporary researches in this field, it should be noted that application of the mentioned above policy tools, such as carbon price, emissions reduction, subsidy and green R&D turns out to be rather efficient for improving innovation and performance of the firms. In general, the theory by Porter is supported in the contemporary research literature, although the effect of the regulation depends upon the proper design of the policy tool.

Indian courts have played a significant role in strengthening environmental protection through judicial activism. The judiciary has interpreted Article 21 of the Constitution to include the right to a healthy environment and has introduced principles such as sustainable development, precautionary principle, polluter pays principle, and absolute liability. Environmental Regulation Definition means making up regulations where the main objective is ensuring that the environment is protected from degradation or destruction. Some of the environmental regulations are as follows; Emission standards Pollution control Environmental impact assessment Waste management Renewable energy green innovation refers to any innovation that helps in preventing environmental degradation and ensures economic utilization of the environment. The connection between environmental regulation and innovation is made through the Porter and van der Linde hypothesis which states that environmental regulation results into innovation and lowers the costs of firms. Some of the effects resulting from environmental regulation include; Increased efficiency Increased technology Market opportunity Changes reduced inefficiencies

5. Environmental Regulations and Green Innovation in India

5.1 Benefit Obtained from Environmental Law

There are various benefits that have been obtained from environmental law, and this has helped in making sure that there is sustainable development through the application of environmental law. Through environmental law, there have been advancements in technology, as there have been advancements in technology regarding pollution and manufacture. No damage has been done to the environment in the process. Moreover, the government has managed to come up with other forms of energy, which include solar, wind and bio-energy.

5.2 National Green Tribunal Act, 2010

National Green Tribunal Act, 2010, is an act introduced by the Parliament of India for the purpose of establishing National Green Tribunal for the purpose of making decisions with respect to environmental protection and conservation of environment and forests. It became operational from 18 October 2010. The necessity of introducing such an act was due to the necessity of having an organization for environment in India as recommended by the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development. The National Green Tribunal is constituted according to the provisions of Section 3 of the Act. The National Green Tribunal will comprise of a Chairperson, Judicial Members and Expert Members who should possess adequate qualifications in environmental science, forestry, ecology and other relevant subjects. Headquarters of the National Green Tribunal will be situated at New Delhi while the Benches of the National Green Tribunal will be set up at Pune, Bhopal, Chennai and Kolkata respectively in order to provide support to all the citizens of India. The National Green Tribunal Act of 2010 has been recognized as one of the significant legislations that have emerged from India because of the environmental laws of the country. The creation of NGT has been viewed positively in terms of environmental justice and development in India. NGT helps bridge the gap between economic development and environmental protection in India.

5.3 Challenges

Even with some benefits that the environmental laws have achieved in their application, there are some problems that are encountered by industries as a result of the environmental laws. The small and medium sized industries usually find themselves in situations where the cost that is required to comply with the pollution and environmental laws is too high. Very little is invested in green technology, hence making such industries unable to invest in such initiatives. Technologies in these industries are always imported from other countries, hence making them technologically dependent.

6. Judicial Contribution to Green Innovation

Indian courts have significantly influenced environmental governance through judicial activism. The judiciary has been a tool whose significance is that of being very critical in achieving innovation through the interpretation and implementation of the environmental laws. These rulings ensure that individuals who harm the environment in terms of sustainability, precaution, and polluter pays are held accountable.

6.1 M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Oleum Gas Leak Case) (1987)

This case arose after the leakage of oleum gas from the Shriram Food and Fertilizers plant in Delhi, causing serious health risks to nearby residents. In the Supreme Court of India, the principle of absolute liability has been propounded which states that if an industrial company conducts itself in a hazardous manner, then it has to bear liability for the damages even if it was negligent or not in the process of causing any accident.

6.2 M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Ganga Pollution Case) (1988)

In this case, the problem that was being faced by the court was the pollution of River Ganga due to the release of effluents from industries without any processing. Due to the negative impact of such environmental pollution, the court felt the necessity to either close down or control such industries which did not have any facilities for treating effluents. As a result of which, it became a mandatory requirement of the court that all industries should have some technologies to process their effluents.

6.3 Vellore Citizens Welfare Forum v. Union of India (1996)

The aforementioned case is somewhat related to the environmental problems that exist in connection with pollution in the State of Tamil Nadu due to the pollutants discharged by the tanneries, which affect the agriculture industry and water resources. In this regard, it is worth noting that the following three environmental principles have been stated by the Supreme Court of India: Sustainable Development, Precautionary Principle, and Polluter Pays Principle. "These principles are an essential component of our environmental law," said the Supreme Court.

6.4 Indian Council for Enviro-Legal Action v. Union of India (1996)

In this particular case, there were various chemical industries that were found guilty of causing environmental pollution through their improper handling of dangerous wastes. The Supreme Court adopted the "Polluter Pays Principle" for this case whereby the company responsible would have to cover the total costs for cleaning the environment.

6.5 T.N. Godavarman Thirumulpad v. Union of India (1997)

In light of the wider meaning of the word "forest" by the Supreme Court of India and the management of forests in India, much has been achieved in regards to the preservation of forests in India. This is due to the fact that, in the case of T.N. Godavarman Thirumulpad v. Union of India, the Supreme Court of India was focused on the achievement of sustainable development through preventing deforestation and the ecological balance. Much has been accomplished through the decisions made by the Supreme Court of India in this specific case in relation to the promotion of the use of technology in forest management.

6.6 M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (1998)

The Supreme Court has played an extremely significant role in changing the process of managing the urban environment by ordering the transport system in Delhi to change from using conventional fuels to CNG in M.C. Meh ta v Union of India case. The need for issuing such an important order arises because the problem of pollution had to be dealt with in such a manner that the health of the citizens was protected.

6.7 A.P. Pollution Control Board v. Prof. M.V. Nayudu (1999)

In this specific case, the issue of the environmental problem arises within the framework of the industrial project itself. In this way, this is one of the examples of questions that arise within the framework of the decision-making process regarding the environment and science. It

is due to the fact that science is one of the key factors in the issue of environment and science before the Supreme Court.

6.8 Mantri Techzone Pvt. Ltd. v. Forward Foundation (2019)

According to the recent judgment provided by the Supreme Court of India in the case of Mantri Techzone Pvt. Ltd. v/s Forward Foundation, economic development must not come in the form of environmental damage. Due to the preservation of the degraded environment of the wetland region, the Supreme Court reasserted the concept of sustainable development and environment responsibility. It led to promoting environmental technology usage.

6.9 Kantha Vibhag Yuva Koli Samaj Parivartan Trust v. State of Gujarat (2019)

In this connection, it is reasonable to refer to the case Kantha Vibhag Yuva Koli Samaj Parivartan Trust v. State of Gujarat where the Supreme Court expanded the environmental obligation with respect to the evaluation of the process of obtaining environmental clearance and conducting the EIA analysis. There is no doubt that prior to obtaining the environmental clearance to implement the development project, it was required to comply with all the requirements stipulated by environmental regulations. This made it possible to design the project without any polluting effects.

6.10 Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai v. Ankita Sinha (2021)

Suo Motu Jurisdiction of National Green Tribunal was accepted by the Supreme Court after which pro-active environmental governance was possible. The reason for this was that it would improve the compliance of environmental laws and incentivize industries to adopt sustainability before going to court.

6.11 M.K. Ranjitsinh v. Union of India (2024)

In this case the Supreme Court has clearly stated that the right to be protected against the effects of climate change is a fundamental right of the individual. It may be seen in the context of the judgment of the Supreme Court of India that the issue of climate change protection arises out of the discussion of the fundamental rights enshrined in Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India.

The participation of the Indian judiciary in green technologies through the legal requirement of the protection of the environment through judgments of cases like M.C. Mehta, Vellore Citizens Welfare Forum, Indian Council for Enviro-Legal Action and M.K. Ranjitsinh is one of the factors that have enabled the Indian judiciary to play a key role in green technology innovations. The intervention of the Indian judiciary has not only helped in ensuring the protection of the rights of the people of India, but also the development of green economy in India has been made possible through green innovations. These judgments have emerged as a key factor in the process of law making in India due to the emergence of concepts like absolute liability, precautionary, sustainable development and polluter pays principles.

7. Findings and Recommendations: The concept of environmental innovation can be understood through the environmental laws existing in India. The implementation of

environmental laws by judicial activism has resulted in environmental innovation in the fields of industries, research, and individuals. This is due to the strict application of environmental laws and environmental standards in environmental public interest litigation cases. Some of the examples of innovation in environmental technology are solar energy, wind energy, and bio-energy. Innovation of renewable energy technology can be achieved through environmental regulation as well as other forms of policies. However, innovation results from the efforts of the company ensuring that the discharge regulation is followed. The government financing research on environmental technology has resulted in innovation, hence reducing the risk of innovation considerably.

One more extremely important aspect which is regarded as a source of innovation in the area of green technology is the "Technology Transfer". As the Indian companies have an opportunity to innovate in cooperation with foreign companies through advanced technologies, they are able to succeed. The Public-Private Partnership is another approach to ensuring innovation in the sphere of green technology. Environmental financing including such elements as green financing, climate finance, and sustainable financing can be considered as a main source of funding the green innovations.

8. Conclusion

The development of environmental regulations in India can be discussed taking as an example the transformation of these regulations from pollution regulations into sustainability and innovation regulations. This specific example can help to understand the way in which the information about the connection between environmental regulations and economic growth is collected. Concerning the connection between environmental regulations and innovation, one should mention the existence of a special theory called Porter's Hypothesis created by an economist Michael Porter. This theory states that there is innovation and at the same time reduction of environmental impact while regulating the environment.

The second country where the above-mentioned principles have been subject to judicial activism for incorporation into environmental law is India. First, the principle of sustainable development states that there should be balance between development and environment in a manner that the needs of the present generation are fulfilled without harming the needs of the future generation. Second, the principle of precaution says that precautionary measures should be taken even if there is scientific uncertainty. Third, the principle of polluter pays says that the polluter should ensure that there is no environmental harm created. There have been some very important judgments from the Supreme Court of India regarding the above.

Bibliography

- Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981.
- A.P. Pollution Control Board v. Prof. M.V. Nayudu, (1999) 2 SCC 718.
- Ambec, S., Cohen, M. A., Elgie, S., & Lanoie, P. (2013). The Porter hypothesis at 20: Can environmental regulation enhance innovation and competitiveness? Review of Environmental Economics and Policy, 7(1), 2–22.

- Chowdhury, I. R., & Das, S. K. (2011). Environmental regulation, green R&D and the Porter hypothesis. International Journal of Green Economics, 4(2), 142–152.
- Constitution of India, Articles 21, 48A, and 51A(g).
- C.M. Abraham & Sushila Abraham, Environmental Jurisprudence in India.
- Doran, J., & Ryan, G. (2012). Regulation and firm perception, eco-innovation and firm performance. European Journal of Innovation Management, 15(4), 421–441.
- Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.
- Indian Council for Enviro-Legal Action v. Union of India, (1996) 3 SCC 212.
- Jaffe, A. B., & Palmer, K. (1997). Environmental regulation and innovation: A panel data study. Review of Economics and Statistics, 79(4), 610–619.
- Journal of Cleaner Production. (2024). Policy instruments and green innovation: Evidence and implications for corporate performance. Journal of Cleaner Production, 471, 143443.
- Kantha Vibhag Yuva Koli Samaj Parivartan Trust v. State of Gujarat, Civil Appeal No. 1046 of 2019 (2022).
- Liao, Z., & Liu, C. (2022). Environmental regulation and green innovation: A meta-analysis. Business Strategy and the Environment, 31(7), 3300–3318.
- Li, Y. (2023). Research status and prospects of the environmental regulation impact on green innovation. Frontiers in Business, Economics and Management, 7(2), 95–101.
- M.C. Mehta v. Union of India, AIR 1987 SC 1086.
- M.C. Mehta v. Union of India, (1987) 1 SCC 395
- M.C. Mehta v. Union of India, (1998) 6 SCC 63.
- M.K. Ranjitsinh & Ors. v. Union of India & Ors., 2024 SCC OnLine SC 172 (Supreme Court of India, decided on 21 March 2024).
- Mantri Techzone Pvt. Ltd. v. Forward Foundation, (2019) 18 SCC 494.
- Michael E. Porter & Claas van der Linde, "Toward a New Conception of the Environment-Competitiveness Relationship," 9(4) Journal of Economic Perspectives 97 (1995).
- Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai v. Ankita Sinha, (2021) SCC OnLine SC 897.
- National Green Tribunal Act, 2010.
- P. Leelakrishnan, Environmental Law in India (LexisNexis).
- Porter, M. E., & van der Linde, C. "Toward a New Conception of the Environment-Competitiveness Relationship." Journal of Economic Perspectives, Vol. 9, No. 4 (1995).
- Shyam Divan & Armin Rosencranz, Environmental Law and Policy in India (3rd ed., Oxford University Press, New Delhi).
- T.N. Godavarman Thirumulpad v. Union of India, (1997) 2 SCC 267.
- Vellore Citizens Welfare Forum v. Union of India, (1996) 5 SCC 647.
- Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974.
- Zhang, W., Zhu, B., Li, Y., & Yan, D. (2024). Revisiting the Porter hypothesis: A multi-country meta-analysis of the relationship between environmental regulation and green innovation. Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 11(232), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-02671-9

Cite this Article:

Dr. Pooran Chandra Pande, "The Impact of Environmental Regulations on Green Innovation in India" Shiksha Samvad International Open Access Peer-Reviewed & Refereed Journal of Multidisciplinary Research, ISSN: 2584-0983 (Online), Volume 03, Issue 04, Pp.258-266, June-2026. Journal URL: https://shikshasamvad.com/

CERTIFICATE

of Publication

This Certificate is proudly presented to

Dr. Pooran Chandra Pande

For publication of research paper title

The Impact of Environmental Regulations on Green Innovation in India

Published in 'Shiksha Samvad' Peer-Reviewed and Refereed Research Journal and E-ISSN: 2584-0983(Online), Volume-03, Issue-04, Month June 2026.

Dr. Neeraj Yadav
Editor-In-Chief

Dr. Lohans Kumar Kalyani
Executive-chief- Editor

Note: This E-Certificate is valid with published paper and the paper must be available online at: https://shikshasamvad.com/

DOI:- https://doi.org/10.64880/shikshasamvad.v3i4.28